C&A2017

Approximation Algorithms for Stochastic Geometric Optimization Problems

Jian Li Institute of Interdisciplinary Information Sciences Tsinghua University



Outline

• Introduction

- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- ϵ -Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Combinatorial/Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Combinatorial and Geometric Optimization problems



Uncertain Data and Stochastic Model

- Data Integration and Information Extraction
- Sensor Networks; Information Networks
- Probabilistic models in machine learning

Sensor ID	Temp.
1	Gauss(40,4)
2	Gauss(50,2)
3	Gauss(20,9)

Probabilistic databases



Probabilistic Models in machine learning

Stochastic models in operation research

LECTURES ON

Modeling and Theory

STOCHASTIC PROGRAMMING

John R. Singe François Louveaux Introduction to Stochastic Programming

Alan J. King

Stein W. Wallace

Modeling with Stochasti

Programming

Stochastic Optimization

- Danzig in 1950s (linear programming with stochastic coefficients stochastic programming)
- Depending on how the decision process interacts with the uncertainty, we may be able to formulate different versions of stochastic optimization problems
 - Estimation (no decision)
 - Single-stage
 - 2-stage
 - Multi-stage
 - Online (adaptive/non-adaptive))
 - Geometric Optimization problems

Stochastic Minimum j-flat Center

- Every point *i* exists with prob p_i
- Find a j-flat F (an affine subspace of dim j) such that $E[\max_{i} d(i, F)]$ is miminized



Stochastic Minimum Width

- Every point *i* exists with prob p_i
- Find a direction *u* such that

 $\mathbb{E}[w(Q, u)]$ is minimized

Ο

In the deterministic setting, the minimum width problem is equivalent to min (d-1)-flat center In stochastic setting, they are different.

0

0

0

8

Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- *\epsilon*-Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Stochastic Geometry Models

- The position of each point is random (non-i.i.d)
- All pts are independent from each other
- A popular model in wireless networks/spatial prob databases



Locational uncertainty model

Stochastic Geometry Models

- The position of each point is random (non-i.i.d)
- All pts are independent from each other
- A popular model in wireless networks/spatial prob databases



Locational uncertainty model



A realization (aka a possible world) Prob=0.7*1*0.5*0.5*0.3

Stochastic Geometry Models

- The position of each point is random (non-i.i.d)
- All pts are independent from each other
- A popular model in wireless networks/spatial prob databases



Locational uncertainty model



Another realization Prob=0.3*1*0.4*0.5*0.3

Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- *\epsilon*-Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Kernel/Coreset

- Why kernel/Coreset?
- Turn BIG DATA to small data



Esp-kernel

A powerful notion in computational geometry [Agarwal et al.04]
Let w(P, u) be the width of a deterministic n-point set P ⊂ ℝ^d in a direction u. An ε-kernel S ⊆ P s.t. for any direction u,

$$(1 - \epsilon)w(P, u) \le w(S, u) \le w(P, u).$$



Construction of ϵ -kernels (Chan, 2006; Yu et.al., 2008):

- size: $O(\epsilon^{-(d-1)/2}),$
- time: $O(n + e^{-(d-3/2)})$.

Esp-kernel

- ϵ -kernel is useful in designing efficient algorithms for many CG problems (using the linearization trick, originally used by Yao-Yao)
 - 1. Approximate function extent,
 - 2. Minimum enclosing ball,
 - 3. Minimum enclosing cylinder,
 - 4. Minimum spherical cell,
 - 5. Minimum cylinder cell

6.

 The idea has been extended to numerous other problems: kcenter, k-means, k-median, shape fitting, clustering, matrix approximation, submodular functions, connection to streaming/sketch

Support Function

- Support Function: $f(P, u) = \sup_{p \in P} \langle p, u \rangle$
- Width: w(P, u) = f(P, u) f(P, -u)
- We can assume w.l.o.g. that ||u|| = 1



Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- ϵ -Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Combinatorial/Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Stochastic Points

- How to extend the notion of *ε*-kernel to stochastic points??
 - The directional width is not a number any more! It is a random variable.
- Definition 1: Approximate the expectation of the directional width for all directions ϵ -Exp-Kernel
- Definition 2: Approximate the distribution of the directional width for all directions (ϵ, τ) -Quant-Kernel

Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- ϵ -Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Combinatorial/Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

ϵ -Expectation-Kernel

0,2

• Define the expected value of the directional width

 $w(\mathcal{P}, u) = \mathbb{E}_{Q \sim \mathcal{P}}[w(Q, u)]$



• ϵ -exp-kernel S: for any direction u:

 $(1-\epsilon)w(\mathcal{P},u) \le w(S,u) \le w(\mathcal{P},u).$

In fact, we can choose S to be a constant-sized set of deterministic points

ϵ -Expectation-Kernel

- Question 1: Does such kernel even exist?
- Question 2: How to find it efficiently?
- Question 3: What it is good for?

Minkovski Sum

• For sets A and B

their Minkovski sum $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$



Minkovski Sum

• An important property of Minkovski Sum f(P, u) + f(Q, u) = f(P + Q, u)



• Another easy property (α is a real number) $f(\alpha P, u) = \alpha f(P, u)$

Minkovski Sum

• An important property of Minkovski Sum f(P, u) + f(Q, u) = f(P + Q, u)



Existence of ϵ -Exp-Kernel • Consider the expected value of the support function $E_Q[f(Q, u)] = \sum Pr[Q]f(Q, u)$ W.P 0.5×0.7×0.4×0.2 W.P 0.5×0.7×0.6×02 W.P. 0.5×0.7×0.4×0.B = 0.047 = 0.028 = 0.112 • $E_Q[f(Q,u)] = \sum Pr[Q]f(Q,u) = f(\sum Pr[Q]Q,u)$ Minkovski Sum \oplus 0.042 \longrightarrow \oplus 0.112 <0,028 ⊕··.. = exponential # terms

Existence of ϵ -Exp-Kernel

• We just show that

There exists a deterministic convex shape M such that $w(M, u) = E_Q[w(Q, u)]$

- Every deterministic convex shape has an ϵ -kernel of size $\epsilon^{-(d-1)/2}$ [Agarwal et al '04]
- So, we have proved the existence!
- How to construct it efficiently?
- Let us first try to understand the deterministic convex shape *M* (which is the Minkovski sum of exponential convex shapes)

A Deep Understanding

- Let us first try to understand the deterministic convex shape M (which is the Minkovski sum of exponential convex shapes)
- What is the complexity of M (i.e., #vertices)?
- It seems to be exponential.....

A Deep Understanding

- Let us first try to understand the deterministic convex shape *M* (which is the Minkovski sum of exponential convex shapes)
- What is the complexity of M (i.e., #vertices)?
- It seems to be exponential.....
- But we are going to prove it is polynomial !

$$O(\binom{n^2}{d-1}) = O(n^{2d-2})$$

A Polynomial Size Bound

- Consider the existential uncertainty model
- Consider the arrangement $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$



A Polynomial Size Bound

- Consider the existential uncertainty model
- Consider the arrangement $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$

• THM: $|M| = |\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)|$

Moreover, each cone *C* in $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$ corresponds to a vertex in *M* as follows:

order 2,1,3,4

A(T)

for this cone

$$\nabla f(M, u) = v \text{ for all } u \in \operatorname{int} C$$

A Polynomial Size Bound - Proof

• Fact: For each convex body M, we can divide the space into |M| cones, such that each cone C_v corresponds to a vertex v of M and $f(M, u) = \langle v, u \rangle$ for any $u \in C_v$.



A Polynomial Size Bound - Proof

• Fact: For each convex body *M*, we can divide the space into |M| cones, such that each cone C_{v} corresponds to a vertex vof *M* and $f(M, u) = \langle v, u \rangle$ for any $u \in C_v$. $f(P,u) = \langle u,v \rangle$

N

• Hence, for any $u \in C_v$

$$\nabla f(M,u) = \Big\{\frac{\partial f(M,u)}{\partial u_j}\Big\}_{j\in[d]} = \Big\{\frac{\partial \langle u,v\rangle}{\partial u_j}\Big\}_{j\in[d]} = \Big\{\frac{\partial \sum_{j\in[d]} v_j u_j}{\partial u_j}\Big\}_{j\in[d]} = v,$$

• Conclusion 1: $\nabla f(M, u)$ is a constant vector for each cone C_{ν}

Proof - Cont

- Now, consider a cone C in $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$
- We show $\nabla f(M, u)$ is a constant vector for all $u \in \operatorname{int} C$.

Proof - Cont

- Now, consider a cone C in $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$
- We show $\nabla f(M, u)$ is a constant vector for all $u \in \operatorname{int} C$
- First, we notice that



Proof - Cont

- Now, consider a cone C in $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$
- We show $\nabla f(M, u)$ is a constant vector for all $u \in \operatorname{int} C$
- First, we notice that

$$f(M, u) = f(\mathcal{P}, u) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}} \Pr^{R}(v, u) \langle v, u \rangle$$
$$\Pr^{R}(v, u) = \prod_{v' \succ uv} (1 - p_{v'}) p_{v}$$

- In cone *C*, the order doesn't change (So Pr^R(v, u) does not change. In particular, it does not depend on u)
- Hence, we can see that

$$\nabla f(M,u) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}} \Pr^R(v,u) v$$
 a constant independent of u

A Polynomial Size Bound

- $\nabla f(M, u)$ is a piecewise constant in $\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)$
- It is not hard to show the constant is not the same for different cones
- Hence, $|M| = |\mathbb{A}(\Gamma)|$
- $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes (passing the origin) can divide the d-dim space into this many cones

$$O(\binom{n^2}{d-1}) = O(n^{2d-2})$$

• This can be made constructive: we can spend this amount of time to construct *M*

ϵ -Expectation-Kernel

- Question 1: Does such kernel even exist?
- Question 2: How to find it efficiently?
- Question 3: What it is good for?

A Nearly Linear Time Algorithm

- Constructing *M* is expensive (e.g., d=10)
- Can we construct the kernel without constructing *M* explicitly?
- Yes, we can.
- We can do this in $O(2^d n \log n)$ time
- A key procedure:

We are able to find the extreme vertex of M for a given direction in $O(n \log n)$ time.

The idea follows from our previous proof!

$$\nabla f(M, u) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}} \Pr^R(v, u) v$$

ϵ -Expectation-Kernel

- Question 1: Does such kernel even exist?
- Question 2: How to find it efficiently?
- Question 3: What it is good for?

Applications

- Function extent
- Duality transform:



Applications

- Each function appears with some probability
- We are interested in the expectation of the extent
- By duality, it is equivalent to the direction width problem!
- By the linearization trick, we can give PTAS for the problem minimizing the expected areas of the enclosing ball and the enclosing annulus in the plane.

Application

- Stochastic Moving Points
- A set of stochastic points, each moving along a polynomial trajectory
- By our function extent result, we can show that we can construct a constant number of deterministic moving points, such that the directional width approximates the expected direction width of the stochastic points, for any direction and any time!

Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- *ε*-Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Combinatorial/Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Approximate the Distribution

• Want to approximate the distribution for every direction



• (ϵ, τ)-Quant-Kernel: For every direction u, $\Pr_{P \sim \mathcal{P}} \Big[\omega(P, u) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)x \Big] - \tau \leq \Pr_{S \sim \mathcal{S}} \Big[\omega(S, u) \leq x \Big] \leq \Pr_{P \sim \mathcal{P}} \Big[\omega(P, u) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)x \Big] + \tau$

Algorithm for Quant-Kernel

Algorithm:

• Take *N* samples from the stochastic model where

 $N = O\left(\tau^{-2}\varepsilon^{-(d-1)}\log(1/\varepsilon)\right)$

- Compute the ϵ -kernel K_i for each sample Q_i
- Quant-Kernel = { K_1 , K_2 , ..., K_N }, each w.p. 1/N

► Theorem An (ε, τ) -QUANT-KERNEL of size $\widetilde{O}(\tau^{-2}\varepsilon^{-3(d-1)/2})$ can be constructed in $\widetilde{O}(n\tau^{-2}\varepsilon^{-(d-1)})$ time, under both existential and locational uncertainty models.

 Proof uses the celebrated VC (Vapnik-Chervonenkis) uniform convergence theory + VC-dimension for union of half spaces

Algorithm for Quant-Kernel

• The above result can be improved for existential model:

▶ **Theorem** \mathcal{P} is a set of uncertain points in \mathbb{R}^d with existential uncertainty. Let $\lambda = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}} (-\ln(1-p_v))$. There exists an (ε, τ) -QUANT-KERNEL for \mathcal{P} , which consists of a set of independent uncertain points of cardinality $\min\{\widetilde{O}(\tau^{-2}\max\{\lambda^2,\lambda^4\}), \widetilde{O}(\varepsilon^{-(d-1)}\tau^{-2})\}$. The algorithm for constructing such a coreset runs in $\widetilde{O}(n\log^{O(d)} n)$ time.

- A more complicated construction and analysis
- Interesting connections to Tukey Depth and k-Level set



Other Kernel/Coresets

• Approximate Fractional Power [HLPW,ESA16]

$$T_r(P, u) = \max_{v \in P} \langle u, v \rangle^{1/r} - \min_{v \in P} \langle u, v \rangle^{1/r}$$

• Fractional power kernel *S*:

 $(1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}_{P\sim\mathcal{P}}[T_r(P,u)] \le \mathbb{E}_{P\sim\mathcal{S}}[T_r(P,u)] \le (1+\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}_{P\sim\mathcal{P}}[T_r(P,u)].$

- Minimum Enclosing Balls [MSF, SCG'14]
- Minimum j-flat center [HL,SODA'17]
- Minimum k-center [HL,SODA'17]

Outline

- Introduction
- Stochastic Geometry Models
- *\epsilon*-Kernels/Coresets
- *\epsilon*-Kernels for Stochastic Geometry
- *\epsilon*-Expectation-Kernels
- Other Kernels/Coresets for Stochastic Geometry
- More Stochastic Geometric Optimization Problems
- Conclusion

Many More Problems

- Geometric optimization
 - Nearest neighbor queries
 - Range queries
 - Hyperplane Separation (SVM)
 - Coresets

.

• Shape fitting (minimum enclosing ball, minimum j-flat center, minimum k-center etc.)

Conclusion

- Bayesian mechanism design (essentially stochastic optimization problems)
- Learning+Optimization
 - We don't have to first learn the distributions first, and then solve the stochastic optimization problem. We can do it together and use less samples!
- A fascinating topic with interesting connections to many subareas in TCS (counting, coresets, geometry, VC theory, bandits, online algorithms, mechanism design,....) and probability theory/statistics
- A lot more interesting problems to be studied
- Many open problems
- A Survey: Jian Li, Yu Liu. Approximation Algorithms for Stochastic Combinatorial Optimization Problems. 2016

Thanks

lijian83@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn